

\*NRC Licensees—Section 61.103, 61.104, 61.105, 61.107  
 Phosphogypsum Stacks—Sections 61.203, 61.206, 61.207, 61.208, 61.209  
 Underground Uranium Mines—Sections 61.24, 61.25  
 Uranium Mill Tailings Piles—Sections 61.253, 61.254, 61.255, 61.223, 61.224

\*(EPA is proposing to rescind Subpart I of the radionuclide NESHAP as it applies to NRC-licensed facilities).

Data and information collected is used by EPA to ensure that public health continues to be protected from the hazards of airborne radionuclides by compliance with the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP). If the information were not collected, it is unlikely that violation of the standards would be identified and no corrective action would be initiated to bring the facilities back into compliance. Compliance is demonstrated through emission testing and/or dose calculation. Results are submitted to EPA annually for verification of compliance and maintained for a period of 5 years.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

- (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;
- (iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Burden Statement

*NRC Licensees and NON-DOE federal facilities*—for facilities licensed by the NRC, emission testing is not required. Facilities may use written procedures or the COMPLY computer program for demonstrating compliance. These procedures and the COMPLY program were designed to reduce the burden on smaller facilities of determining compliance. The activities of the various respondents consist of reading and understanding the regulatory provisions and compliance procedures, identifying and listing input data, performing computer runs, preparing a report, and storing and maintaining data.

The estimated burden for each respondent is 23 hours per response.

This estimate is based on experience gained in preparing radionuclide NESHAP enforcement and compliance guidance material and in demonstrating the use of EPA's COMPLY computer program to the uninitiated. Estimates of burden and cost to NRC licensed facilities accruing from reporting and recordkeeping activity are calculated based on the assumption of 6000 facilities completing computer runs, filing a report (if required) and maintaining supporting records. In the past reporting periods, only 670 of the 6,000 facilities have reported under the regulation and many of these facilities were not required to report pursuant to the regulation.

The record keeping and reporting burden hours are 23 hours×6000 respondents=138,000 hours. However, we are proposing to rescind our standard covering these NRC-licensed facilities. After the rescission, Subpart I of the radionuclide NESHAP will apply only to non-DOE federal facilities not licensed by NRC.

*Other 40 CFR 61 Facilities*—In addition, the estimates of this ICR renewal also includes DOE facilities, elemental phosphorous plants, Non-DOE federal facilities not licensed by NRC, phosphogypsum stacks, underground uranium mines and uranium mill tailings piles. It is assumed that all facilities will perform emission testing in lieu of analytical analysis to estimate emissions. Whereas testing is more time consuming than analytic analysis, the ICR estimates represent a worst case scenario by a factor of about 20. Activities consist of reading and understanding the regulatory provisions and compliance procedures, preparing a test plan, performing testing, performing data analysis, preparing a report, and storing and maintaining data. Accordingly, it is estimated that the burden will not exceed 288 hours per response and more likely be in a 29 to 288 hour range.

| Respondent                        | Number of facilities |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Department of Energy .....        | 38                   |
| Elemental Phosphorous .....       | 5                    |
| Non-DOE not licensed by NRC ..... | 17                   |
| Phosphogypsum Stacks .....        | 41                   |
| Underground Uranium Mines .....   | 20                   |
| Uranium Mill Tailings Piles ..... | 100                  |
| Total .....                       | 221                  |

It is estimated that 221 facilities would be required to report emissions and/or effective dose equivalent annually and retain supporting records for five years. The total record keeping

and reporting burden hours is 288 hours×221 respondents=63,648 hours.

The total burden to respondents will be 138,000 hours detailed above and the additional 63,648 hours, together totaling 201,648 hours.

No person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are displayed in 40 CFR Part 9.

Send comments regarding these matters, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the address listed above.

Dated: August 18, 1995.

**Mary Clark,**

*Acting Director, Office of Radiation and Indoor Air.*

[FR Doc. 95-21169 Filed 8-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### [ER-FRL-5228-2]

#### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared July 10, 1995 through August 11, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19047).

#### Draft EISs

*ERP No. D-AFS-J65237-MT* Rating EC2, Two Joe Timber Sales, Implementation, Lolo National Forest, Superior Ranger District, St. Regis River, Mineral County, MT.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the potential for increased sediment transport and localized effects upon area bull trout populations. EPA suggested expanded commitment to water quality and aquatics monitoring, and the collection of additional information needed to fully assess and mitigate all potential impacts of the management actions.

*ERP No. D-BLM-J02032-WY* Rating EC2, Moxa Arch Area Natural Gas Development Expansion Project, Approvals, Right-of-Way Grants and COE Section 404 Permit(s) Issuance, Sweetwater, Lincoln and Unita Counties, WY.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about cumulative impacts from this and numerous other proposed oil and gas activities in Southwestern Wyoming. EPA encouraged BLM to use all available measures in the current proposals to reduce levels of ground disturbance.

*ERP No. D-COE-K32048-CA* Rating LO, Port of Long Beach (POLB) Main Channel Deepening and Navigation Improvements, Implementation, Queen's Gate, San Pedro Bay, Los Angeles County, CA.

*Summary:* EPA had no objections to the proposed action. EPA did suggest that the final document discuss the suitability of using dredged sediment for cap material in the Los Angeles River Borrow Pit.

*ERP No. D-DOA-K36112-AS* Rating EC2, Aua Watershed Plan, Flood Prevention and Watershed Protection, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and Right-of-Way Grant, Tutuila Island, Ma'oputasi County, AS.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential impacts to wetlands and coral reefs. EPA requested additional information to clarify the issues.

*ERP No. D-UAF-E10007-GA* Rating LO, F-15 Fighter Aircraft Conversion at Dobbins Air Force Base (AFB), Marietta, GA to B-1B Bomber Aircraft at Robins AFB, Warner Robins, GA and Training Airspace Modifications Servicing the Savannah Combat Readiness Training Center (CRTC) Area, GA.

*Summary:* EPA does not anticipate any significant adverse environmental effects attendant to this proposal.

*ERP No. D-USA-G11029-AR* Rating LO, Disposal of Chemical Agents and Munitions Stored at Pine Bluff Arsenal, Construction and Operation, Approval of Permits, Jefferson County, AR.

*Summary:* EPA had a lack of environmental objections with the proposed action.

#### Final EISs

*ERP No. F-AFS-E65043-00* Red-Cockaded Woodpecker (RCW) (*Picoides borealis*) Repopulation, Habitat Management Areas, Implementation, National Forests in the Southern Region.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the impact of timber harvest and oil and gas exploratory activities on the Red-cockaded Woodpecker habitat. The Final EIS should address additional mitigation measures.

*ERP No. F-DOE-L09805-00* Business Plan to Operate Electric Utility Market, Transmission Services and Fish and Wildlife Activities, Funding and

Implementation, WA, OR, ID, CA, NV, AZ, MT, WY, UT, NM and British Columbia.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns that the Final EIS does not clearly document how the proposed action optimizes conservation, resource protection and restoration objectives with the business objectives.

*ERP No. F-NPS-E64015-TN* Obed Wild and Scenic River, General Management Plan and Development Concept Plan, Implementation, Morgan and Cumberland Counties, TN.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about upstream water withdrawals from Clear Creek, threatening water quality and the value of the Obed Wild and Scenic River. A comprehensive water resources management and/or protection plan for the upstream watershed should be prepared.

*ERP No. F-NPS-L61200-WA* Lake Chelan National Recreation Area General Management Plan, Implementation, Chelan County, WA.

*Summary:* Review of the Final EIS has been completed and the project found to be environmentally satisfactory. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: August 22, 1995

**B. Katherine Biggs,**

*Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division.*

[FR Doc. 95-21208 Filed 8-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[ER-FRL-5228-1]

#### Environmental Impact Statements; Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 260-5076 OR (202) 260-5075.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed August 14, 1995 Through August 18, 1995 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

*EIS No. 950375*, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, White Sand Planning Area Ecosystem Management Project, Implementation, Clearwater National Forest, Powell Ranger District, Idaho County, ID, Due: October 10, 1995, Contact: Jeff Pope (208) 942-3113.

*EIS No. 950376*, Draft EIS, AFS, CO, Rio Grande National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Archuleta, Rio Grande, Custer, Hinsdale, Alamosa, San Juan, Conejos, Mineral and Saquache Counties, CO, Due: December 22, 1995, Contact: James B. Webb (719) 852-5941.

*EIS No. 950377*, Final EIS, AFS, CA, Running Springs Water District Wastewater Treatment Facilities Upgrading and Reclamation for Irrigation and Snow-Making at the Snow Valley Ski Resort, Approval, San Bernardino National Forest, San Bernardino County, CA, Due: September 25, 1995, Contact: Hal Seyden (909) 884-6634.

*EIS No. 950378*, Draft EIS, FHW, NV, Tier 1—DEIS Northern and Western Las Vegas Beltway Corridor Location Study, Site Selection for Funding and Land Transfer or Right-of-Way Grants, Clark County, NV, Due: October 10, 1995, Contact: Janice Weingart-Brown (702) 687-5320.

*EIS No. 950379*, Final EIS, NPS, OR, Crater Lake National Park Development Concept Plan/Amendment to the General Management Plan, Implementation, Klamath County, OR, Due: September 25, 1995, Contact: Gary Hurelle (303) 969-2394.

*EIS No. 950380*, Draft EIS, FRC, WA, Priest Rapids Project (FERC No. 2114-024), Evaluation of Downstream Fish Passage Facilities, New License Issuance with Conditions to Protect the Migratory Juvenile Salmon (Smolts), Columbia River Basin, Grant County, WA, Due: October 10, 1995, Contact: Timothy L. Welch (202) 219-2666.

*EIS No. 950381*, Final EIS, AFS, WA, Thunder Mountain Fire Recovery and Salvage Project, Implementation, Okanogan National Forest, Tonasket and Methow Valley Ranger Districts, Okanogan County, WA, Due: September 25, 1995, Contact: Don Rose (509) 486-5109.

*EIS No. 950382*, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Castle Mountains Allotment Management Plan, Implementation, Lewis and Clark National Forest, Musselshell and King Hill Ranger Districts, White Sulphur Springs, Meagher County, MT, Due: October 10, 1995, Contact: Dave Wanderaas (406) 566-2292.

*EIS No. 950383*, Draft EIS, MMS, AK, Beaufort Sea Planning Area Proposed 1996 Oil and Gas Lease Sale No. 144, Lease Offerings, Alaska Outer Continental Shelf (OSC), AK, Due: October 10, 1995, Contact: George Valiulis (703) 787-1662.

*EIS No. 950384*, Final EIS, USN, CT, GA, VA, Seawolf Class Submarine Homeporting Program on the East Coast of the United States, Site Selection, COE Section 404 Permit and implementation, CT, VA and GA, Due: September 25, 1995, Contact: Robert Ostermueller (610) 595-0759.